Experimental Study of Stable Surfaces for Anti-Slug Control in Multi-phase Flow

Simon Pedersen   Petar Durdevic   Kasper Stampe   Sandra Lindberg Pedersen   Zhenyu Yang

Department of Energy Technology, Aalborg University, Esbjerg Campus, Niels Bohrs Vej 8, 6700 Esbjerg, Denmark

Abstract: Severe slugging flow is always challenging in oil & gas production, especially for the current offshore based production. The slugging flow can cause a lot of problems, such as those relevant to production safety, fatigue as well as capability. As one typical phenomenon in multi-phase flow dynamics, the slug can be avoided or eliminated by proper facility design or control of operational conditions. Based on a testing facility which can emulate a pipeline-riser or a gas-lifted production well in a scaled-down manner, this paper experimentally studies the correlations of key operational parameters with severe slugging flows. These correlations are reflected through an obtained stable surface in the parameter space, which is a natural extension of the bifurcation plot. The maximal production opportunity without compromising the stability is also studied. Relevant studies have already showed that the capability, performance and efficiency of anti-slug control can be dramatically improved if these stable surfaces can be experimentally determined beforehand. The paper concludes that obtaining the stable surface on the new developed map can significantly improve the production rate in a control scheme. Even though the production rate can be further improved by moving the stable surface using advanced control strategies, the constant inputs can in some cases be preferable due to the easier implementation.

Keywords: Offshore, oil and gas, anti-slug, flow control, production-rate optimization, stabilization, bifurcation.

1 Introduction

To keep the production process safe and optimal is one of the main focus areas in the offshore oil and gas industry. Due to the harsh weather and ocean conditions, some unexpected operating conditions may happen, which can lead to undesired flow regimes in the production processes. One typical challenging issue is the slugging flow problem, and it could occur in any of the multi-phase flow segments; from the production well, through transport pipelines, to the riser segment before the fluids enter the first-stage separator. The slug directly causes varying flow rates and pressures in the system either periodically or semi-periodically, correspondingly the production rate will be significantly reduced with regards to the safety issues. Sometimes, these fluctuations can cause the system to emergently shut down. Furthermore, some typical consequences of having these oscillations consist of: liquid overflow and high pressure in the separators, overload on gas compressors, fatigue caused by repeating impact, high frictional pressure drop, low production, and production slop[1]. The elimination or reduction of severe slug in the offshore oil & gas production is therefore of big economic interest.

There are several different facility-based causes of slugging flow, where the riser-induced slug is a severe slug type. As shown in Fig. 1, the periodic slugging behavior out of a vertical riser pipeline can be induced due to the following four stages: 1) Liquid firstly accumulates at the bottom of the riser due to different densities. 2) When more gas and liquid enter the system, the pressure increases and the bottom part of riser is blocked with liquid. 3) The following-up gas is blocked, and thereby the pressure is built up and at some point, the pressure is large enough to blow the liquid out of the riser. 4) After the blow-out, the liquid starts to build up at the bottom of the riser again, and the cycle repeats.


Fig. 1   Illustration of the cyclic behavior in a riser pipeline when slug occurs[2]

Similarly, the severe slug can happen in the production well, especially when the gas-lifting technique is used to

Fig. 2   Illustration of a well gas-lifting, producing oil from a reservoir[3]

promote the well′s production rate. As shown in Fig. 2, in the gas-lifting well, two choke valves are often available for control purpose. One is at the (topside) outlet of the well head, the other is at the gas supply. The severe slug is formed when the casing head pressure is accumulating until it can overcome the hydrostatic pressure in the well riser and blow-out the liquid in the well riser.

From the control point of view, these induced slugs can potentially be eliminated by manipulating the choke valves, which will naturally change the operating condition and it is regarded as one of the most economic, easy and flexible anti-slug solutions. In a normal configuration, the pipeline-riser facility is equipped with one topside choke valve, while the well facility often equips with both a topside choke valve and a choke valve for the gas-lifting. It should be noticed that the gas-lifting technique can also be employed in a pipeline-riser construction.

The studies of anti-slug control by manipulating these choke valves can be found in many literatures in recent decades. The control of a topside choke valve on the pipeline-riser construction with the knowledge of the bifurcation point of the choke valve opening was investigated[4]. The stabilization of casing-heading instability of gas-lift wells by using feedback control was discussed[5]. The decreased production by the slug flow and developed control methods which both works for well and pipeline-riser con-

structions using the pressure measurement at the bottom of the riser were reported[6]. An observer to estimate the bottom pressure from a topside pressure measurement was also developed[6], due to the fact that the bottom pressure transmitter is rarely available in off-shore installations.

The work in this paper focuses on the exploration of quantitative correlation of key system operational parameters with severe slugging flows in an experimental manner, such as to provide potential production optimization by the control of choke valves on a well-pipeline-riser construction. These correlations are reflected through a number of stable surfaces in the parameter space, which is a natural extension of the normal 2-D bifurcation plot. The maximal production opportunity without sacrificing the stability is also studied. Relevant studies in [7] have already showed that the capability, performance and efficiency of anti-slug choke control can be dramatically improved if these stable surfaces can be determined beforehand.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following order: Section 2 introduces the lab-sized setup which generates the required analysis data. Section 3 describes the state-of-the-art flow and bifurcation mapping. Section 4 introduces a new mapping principle which combines the flow and bifurcation plots. Section 5 discusses the results presented in this paper. Finally, a conclusion is carried out in Section 5.

Note that this paper is an extended version of the work documented in [8].

2 Lab testing facility

A lab-sized setup is built in order to study the slugging flow and its control[2, 3]. The main objective of this facility is to emulate different flow patterns often happening at the offshore oil and gas production platforms. For instance, it can be properly configured to emulate a gas-lifting production well[3], or a pipeline-riser[2].

As shown in Fig. 3, this facility consists of horizontal and vertical pipes which simulate a real pipeline-riser system. Water is transported through the pipeline and riser to the choke valve and led to the separator and back to the water reservoir to close the loop. Air is injected at the start of the pipeline, transported through the system and let out after the choke valve. The angle of the horizontal pipe can be adjusted from $0°$ to $20°$, and the placement of the air injection can be moved from start of the pipeline to the bottom of the riser to emulate the gas-lifting well instead. The gas-injection is connected to a buffer tank to emulate a longer pipeline (for the pipeline-riser scenario) or the casing-heading volume (for the well scenario). More details for this setup can be found in [2, 3].

3 Flow and bifurcation maps

Different flow patterns can be analyzed from empirical data operating at steady-state[9, 10]. These flow maps indicate which flow pattern is represented in steady-state. The axes of the flow map are normally the superficial velocities of the gas and liquid, respectively. The superficial velocity is the volume flow rate over the area of the cross-section of the pipeline, which can be properly calculated based on the measured mass flow rate or directly measured by proper transmitters. The transmitters in this study are measuring mass flow rate, thus the conversion equation from mass flow rate to superficial velocity is

$$\frac{\omega_{\text{volume}}}{A_{\text{cross}}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{mass}}}{\rho A_{\text{cross}}} = v$$

where ρ is the density, ω_{mass} is the mass flow rate, ω_{volume} is the volume flow rate, and v is the superficial velocity.

As already mentioned, the flow mapping requires experimental testing but these data are not always available, or sometimes transmitters are not available to provide the required measurement information. Thus, a mathematical slug detection method is provided in [11] which has been a principle formulation in the current oil and gas industry, and it is referred to as "Bøe criterion". Similar work is found in [12], where another criterion, which was successfully verified against experimental data, is also provided. These mathematical equations can be used to estimate an approximation of the flow map surface.

To evaluate the topside choke valve's influence on the system, a bifurcation map can be formed. The Hopf bifurcation occurs in a dynamic system, when the system loses stability due to changes in an independent variable[13]. In


Fig. 3 Diagram of the used lab-setup. Length of horizontal pipeline is 3.1 m, height of riser is 3 m, and length from riser to choke valve is 1.2 m. All pipe diameters are 6.3 cm[2].

this system, the independent variable is the choke valve opening. For the well or riser-pipeline system, Hopf bifurcation can occur if a change of the valve opening causes the system to become unstable (slug) at an operating point, called the bifurcation point. Jepsen et al.[3] made a bifurcation plot for the emulation of the well using the lab testing facility (see Fig. 4). Fig. 4 contains a plot with 4 independent bifurcation maps, each with a different gas injection flow rates, u_2 which is emulating the gas-lifting. In the graph, u_2 is the normalized value of the mass flow rate, where 1 corresponds to the maximum flow rate on the testing facility. 1.739×10^{-3}kg/s. At the bifurcation point of each mapping, the graph divides the single line into two separate lines, indicating a steady pressure changing to an oscillating pressure where the top graph is the maximum pressure and the low graph is the minimum pressure of one slug cycle. Thus, the bifurcation map shows the minimum and maximum pressure peaks during a slug cycle or the steady pressure during steady non-slug flow. It was observed that the bifurcation mapping heavily depends on the gas-lifting, thus a plot of the bifurcation map without considering the gas-lifting will be inaccurate.


Fig. 4 Four bifurcation maps under four different gas-lifting scenarios[3]

A similar experiment has been carried out for the flow map of the well, also emulated by the lab testing facility with different choke valve openings, z (see Fig. 5). Fig. 5 shows that the region mapping of the slugging heavily depends on the choke valve opening. Hence, the flow map can only be plotted with a fixed choke valve opening as this is considered being constant in the flow map. The effect of a change in choke valve however reveals to have big influence on the flow map regions.

For a controller, it is important to know which region has proven to eliminate the slug, as this will be the controller's boundaries. Thus, it is important to have a correlated map of both the flow and bifurcation map of the considered system. This will be useful for the overview of the system and can be used to optimize the performance of controllers. The settling time of the implemented controller developed in [7] has proven to be reduced dramatically with the knowledge of both the flow maps and the bifurcation maps, hence a better picture of the mapping can both improve the tran-

sient performance while also give more precision on the optimal input value to aim with.

In Section 4, a new 4D-picture will be studied as this will give the combined picture of both the bifurcation and flow maps.


Fig. 5 A flow map of the well emulated on the testing facility. It is observed that the mapping heavily depends on the choke valve opening percentage, z.

4 Boundary surfaces for stable flows

The correlation between the flow mapping and the Hopf bifurcation mapping of the choke valve, examined in Section 3, is being carried out by tests on the lab testing facility described in Section 2.

The first test made is considering the well emulation with a constant reservoir pressure, but with varying gas-lifting injection, u_2, and topside choke valve opening, θ_v. The test is illustrated in Fig. 6. These test results are plotted as the big dots indicated either stable or unstable (slug) flow. The results are compared to a simulation ODE model developed in [3] based on the model structure from [5], and show the same tendencies. However, the model is inaccurate and deviate from the real results when the controllable inputs, u_2 and θ_v, are small or large. This is the motivation to not solely rely on the state-of-the-art mathematical detection methods, but also use the experimental data to achieve the bifurcation points.

The flow map results in Fig. 6 are limited by only having one constant reservoir pressure, thus the mapping cannot easily be implemented inmodel-based control schemes where the oil, water and gas production rates are assumed to be known inputs. Besides, the pressure and production flow are not represented in the plot, as the flow maps only indicate what flow pattern is occurring as a binary value. In reality, the slugs will be of a more or less severe kind, as well as the stable flow can minimize the production if the back pressure is increased too much. Hence there exist several good reasons to both consider the pressure and flow.

Fig. 7 is the new proposed 4D-picture of the emulated well, where the 3 axes are the gas mass flow rate, the liquid mass flow rate, and the choke valve opening percentage. As

the visualization map is limited to 3D, the color is indicating the last dimension, the average pressure in the bottom of the riser, PT1. The 3D surface is indicating bifurcation point of the region where the stable flow switches to the slug flow pattern. The pressure is measured from the last point where the stable flow pattern occurs, before the slugging occurs. By the use of this 4D-map, the system's flow pattern can be observed no matter which running conditions are acting on the system, as well as the bottom pressure in a given working area. However, a pressure increase can be caused by both an increase in the production rate and an increase in back pressure. Therefore, the bottom pressure itself cannot be used as an evaluation parameter for the optimal point for a control scheme regulating the production rate in a non-slug region.


Fig. 6 A gas-lift and opening degree mapping of the stable and unstable regions. A simulation ODE model is used and compared to real data. The figure is rescaled from [3].


Fig. 7 A 3D-surface of the combined flow and bifurcation map. The surface is indicating where stable flow switches to slug flow and the color is indicating the pressure in the bottom of the well riser.

The 4D-picture in Fig. 8 is similar to the surface in Fig. 7, but now the color is indicating the average pressure differ-

ence over the riser; from the topside choke valve, PT2, to the bottom hole, PT1. The same data set is being used as the test in Fig. 7. The pressure difference over the riser is the combination of pressure drop from friction caused by the production rate in the riser and the hydrostatic pressure of the liquid column in the riser. Thus, adjusting with the liquid column in the riser, the production rate can be estimated from the pressure drop over the riser. As the main objective of the controller is to eliminate the slug and meanwhile maximize the production, this 4D-map can be used to find the optimal operation point of both the gas-lifting and the choke valve. Besides, with an approximate knowledge of the liquid injection from the well, it is now possible to design a robust boundary, where the surface will not be crossed, hence avoid the slugging flow.


Fig. 8 A 3D-surface of the combined flow and bifurcation map. The surface is indicating where stable flow switches to slug flow and the color is indicating the pressure difference over the well riser.

The same surface is being used once again to prove that the slug will reduce the production. Fig. 9 shows the same surface from the same data set, but now the color is indicating the pressure difference over the riser for the slugging region measurement closest to the surface. It is clear that the pressure difference in the entire slugging region is smaller than the stable region. For this reason, the slug region will be avoided, thus it can be used as boundaries for a possible control scheme.

If the surface is used to control the well in an optimal way, the injection knowledge is also known for the pipeline-riser system, which typically is connected to the outlet of the well. As both the pressure difference and gas injection for the gas-lifting are known, this can be used to estimate the injection into to pipeline-riser facility. As the horizontal pipeline length here can be many kilometers, the volume can possibly be much bigger than the casing-heading, and the angled connection from the horizontal pipeline at the subsea to the riser can take many different shapes, thus the surface indicating the slug region of the pipeline-riser will be slightly different from the well's 4D-picture. Fig. 10 shows the 4D-surface for the emulated pipeline-riser at the lab testing facility. The surface color is indicating the bottom

pressure, PT1. Here both liquid and gas are being injected at the beginning of the pipeline, and not at the bottom of the riser as in the well emulation. The surface is showing similarities to the well emulation, but still varies in terms of pressure amplitude and surface shape. This is caused by the pipeline angled to the riser connection, where the gas can accumulate over a bigger volume of the pipeline when the slug occurs. This also means that the bigger volume possibly can create more severe slugs as the riser height is the same for both emulations.


Fig. 9 A 3D-surface of the combined flow and bifurcation map. The surface is indicating where stable flow switches to slug flow and the color is indicating the pressure difference over the well riser in the slugging region measurement closest to the surface.

Fig. 10 A 3D-surface of the combined flow and bifurcation map of the pipeline-riser system, where the color indicates the bottom pressure.

To evaluate the production of the pipeline-riser surface, the average pressure difference over the riser is once again being used. Fig. 11 shows that the pressure difference at the entire surface is not varying much. However, reaching a proper working area on the surface can still improve the pressure difference by up to 5% according to the 4D-picture. As the pressure difference is equivalent to the production, this increase can result in a high production increase, just by using proper boundaries. It also proves that since the gas and liquid injections are partially controllable because

of the well connection, the cooperation between the two subsystems can improve the overall performance.

Fig. 11 A 3D-surface of the combined flow and bifurcation map of the pipeline-riser system, where the color indicates the pressure difference over the riser.

As for the well, the 4D-mapping of the pipeline-riser has been compared to the pressure of the slugging region next to the surface (see Fig. 12). Once again, Fig. 12 proves that the slug pattern heavily reduces the production. Thus, the slug region has to be avoided as first priority, because it can not only damage the process, but also reduce the production of the process.


Fig. 12 A 3D-surface of the combined flow and bifurcation map of the pipeline-riser system, where the color indicates the pressure difference over the riser under the slug flow next to the surface.

5 Discussion

Both the well and pipeline-riser 4D-surfaces can potentially give a significant production increase by using the right boundaries, as well as a clear picture of where the slug regions are placed. Thus, the 4D-surfaces can be used for future control schemes, no matter which of the two subsystems are considered. It is also shown from Figs. 9 and 12 that the slug reduces the production, hence it is important

not to cross the 4D-surface into the slug region, and with the proposed 4D-mapping, this surface is available.

The stable surfaces in Figs. 10 – 12 also proves that the control of the well can affect the pipeline-riser, hence improve the production rate. This is clear as higher liquid injections into the pipeline-riser system allows the valve opening on the riser top to be higher too. Thus, by increasing the oil and water flow rates downstream the well can improve the overall production rate. In a similar way, the stable surfaces also show that the increased gas injection to the pipelines-riser lowers the value for the maximum allowed choke valve opening. Similar pictures are visualized by the emulated well on Figs 5, 7 − 9, where the increased gas injection cause slug to occur. It has to be noted that if the gas injection was increased further the slug is expected to be eliminated as several studies[14, 15] have proved that increased gas-lifting can eliminate the slugging flow and improve the production, but further increased gas-lifting can also decrease production[15]. Besides, the high pressure gas applied is in most cases a limited or costly resource. Thus, the experiments can be extended further with a higher gas injection to give the entire picture of the stable surfaces.

In general, the 4D-maps have to be extended to give the full picture of the system. The extensions should include larger range of measurements especially for the gas injection and the color range could also be arranged to cover the measurement range better. However, overall the figures show many of the main features of the system and can easily be used for future control schemes as long as the few extensions are taken care of.

6 Conclusions and future work

In this paper, the study of slug flow has been investigated. Traditionally, flow maps and bifurcation maps are being used to understand the performance of the flow patterns in the systems. These maps, however, have the limitations of being correlated, and for this reason, a new 4D-picture is being examined to get a more clear overall picture of the system's performance. The 4D-picture is being visualized with a 3D-surface of the three parameters: gas flow, liquid flow, and valve opening. The surface indicates the bifurcation point at which the stable flow changes to unstable flow. Besides, the color of the surface can indicate either the pressure or flow under the given running conditions. The maps are based on tests from a lab testing facility constructed by [2, 3].The experiments prove the importance of the clear system picture as this can both improve the performance of the system by knowing the control input boundaries for the highest production, and give robustness to a controller, as the surface indicating the slug region can be avoided by having the knowledge of the 4D-map.

The stable surfaces also showed a correlation between the output flows of the well and the stable surface of the pipeline-riser, thus it could be relevant to consider the entire well-pipeline-riser system at once in the future. The maps also showed how an increase in liquid or gas injection affect the flow stability of the system, but the maps does not cover the entire range as especially the gas injection has a very different surface with higher values than illustrated by the plots in this paper. However, if the ranges are extended, the map should be able to show the entire stability map of the given system.

For a real system, where data for the 4D-map is not available, simulation tools such as OLGA or LedaFlow can be used to simulate the system's behaviour and hence obtaining the stable surfaces from these simulations. The simulation tools are validated on other testing facilities. As there might be some deviation between these facilities and a real platform, the stable surfaces might also deviate from reality. However, this is the best option without having the possibility to test on a real system.

In the 4D-graphs presented in this study, the color of the stable surfaces is indicating different pressure measurements. Obviously, this can be changed to any measurement available. However, the pressure measurement for real pipeline systems is the most commonly used measurement method. Hence, the color could also indicate other system behaviour such as the slugging frequency. This possible extension gives the presented visualization many different development opportunities in the future.

It has to be noted that the maps presented in this paper only presents the stable surfaces where the three inputs (illustrated by the axis) are constant. Several studies have proved that the bifurcation map can be changed by use of a control scheme where the choke valve has a varying opening[4, 16]. These studies have also proved that the increased average choke valve opening results in a higher average production rate. However, from a practical point, a more simple control scheme with a constant choke valve input at steady-state is much easier implemented in many offshore cases, as this is closer to many of the existing implemented control schemes. Furthermore, for these advanced control schemes with dynamic repeating inputs, the stable surfaces can help the control scheme to be more optimal and thus increasing the production rate while eliminating the slugging flow.

For future work, the extension of test with the pipeline-riser with gas-lifting could be considered. This would add an additional degree of freedom to the control scheme. However, the gas-lifting is often not available at this location, hence the extension is not useful for all platforms. Using the knowledge of the 4D-map will in the future be tested with control schemes developed for the lab testing facility to evaluate the performance of a controller using the 4D-surface as the boundaries of the controller.

References

[1] T. J. Hill, D. G. Wood. Slug flow: Occurrence, consequences, and prediction. In *Proceedings of University of Tulsa Centennial Petroleum Engineering Symposium*, SPE, Tulsa, USA, 1994.

[2] L. Hansen, S. Pedersen, Z. Yang, J. Biltoft. Recreating riser slugging flow based on an economic lab-sized setup. In *Proceedings of the 5th IFAC International Workshop on Periodic Control Systems*, University of Caen Basse-Normandie, Caen, France, pp. 47–52, 2013.

[3] K. Jepsen, L. Hansen, C. Mai, Z. Yang. Emulation and control of slugging flows in a gas-lifted offshore oil production well through a lab-sized facility. In *Proceedings of International Conference on Control Applications*, IEEE, Hyderabad, India, pp. 906–911, 2013.

[4] I. Ogazi, Y. Cao, L. Y. Lao, H. Yeung. Production potential of severe slugging control systems. In *Proceedings of the 18th IFAC World Congress*, IFAC, Milano, Italy, pp. 10869−10874, 2011.

[5] G. O. Eikrem. Stabilization of Gas-lift Wells by Feedback Control, Ph. D. dissertation, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway, 2006.

[6] F. D. Meglio, N. Petit, V. Alstad, G. O. Kaasa. Stabilization of slugging in oil production facilities with or without upstream pressure sensors. *Journal of Process Control*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 809–822, 2012.

[7] S. Pedersen, P. Durdevic, Z. Yang. Learning control for riser-slug elimination and production-rate optimization for an offshore oil and gas production process. In *Proceedings of the 19th IFAC World Congress*, IFAC, Cape Town, South Africa, 2014.

[8] S. Pedersen, K. Stampe, S. L. Durderic, P. Durdevic, Z. Yang. Experimental study of stable surfaces for anti-slug control in multi-phase flow. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Automation and Computing*, Dedforolshire, UK, pp. 43−48, 2014.

[9] G. F. Hewitt, D. N. Roberts. Studies of Two-phase Flow Patterns by Simultaneous X-ray and Flash Photography. Defense Technical Information Center, UK, 1969.

[10] N. L. Li, L. J. Guo, W. S. Li. Gas-liquid two-phase flow patterns in a pipeline riser system with an s-shaped riser. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, vol. 55, pp. 1–10, 2013.

[11] A. Bøe. *Severe Slugging Characteristics*, NTH, Trondheim, Norway, 1981.

[12] F. E. Jansen, O. Shoham, Y. Taitel. The elimination of severe slugging-experiments and modeling. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1055–1072, 1996.

[13] J. M. T. Thompson, H. B. Stewart. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos*, John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester Great Britain, 1986.

[14] K. S. Johal, C. E. Teh, A. R. Cousins. An alternative economic method to riserbase gas lift for deep water subsea oil/gas field developments. In *Proceedings of Society of Petroleum Engineers*, Offshore Europe, Aberdeen, UK, 1997.

[15] B. Hu. Characterizing Gas-lift Instabilities, Ph. D. dissertation, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Petroleum Engineering and Applied Geophysics, Norway, 2004.

[16] E. Jahanshahi. Control Solutions for Multiphase Flow: Linear and Nonlinear Approaches to Anti-slug Control, Ph. D. dissertation, Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway, 2013.

Simon Pedersen received the M. Sc. degree in intelligent reliable systems with focus on automatic control from Aalborg University Esbjerg, Denmark in 2013. He is currently a Ph. D. degree candidate at Department of Energy Technology at Aalborg University, Denmark. The Ph. D. project focuses on MIMO Anti-Slug Control for Offshore Oil & Gas Systems.

His research interests include industrial applications of advanced control theory and plant-wide automation for energy systems.

E-mail: spe@et.aau.dk

ORCID iD: 0000-0002-2928-7813

Petar Durdevic received the M. Sc. degree in intelligent reliable systems with focus on automatic control from Aalborg University Esbjerg, Denmank in 2013. He is currently a Ph. D. degree candidate at Department of Energy Technology at Aalborg University, Denmark. The Ph. D. project focuses on automatic control for separation processes for Offshore Oil & Gas Systems.

His research interests include industrial applications of advanced control theory and plant-wide automation for energy systems.

E-mail: pdl@et.aau.dk

ORCID iD: 0000-0003-2701-9257

Kasper Stampe is currently master student at Department of Energy Technology at Aalborg University Esbjerg, Danmark, working with offshore energy systems.

His research interest is offshore energy focusing on the North Sea.

E-mail: kstamplo@student.aau.dk

Sandra Lindberg Pedersen is currently master student at Department of Energy Technology at Aalborg University Esbjerg, Danmark working with offshore energy systems.

His research interest is offshore energy focusing on the North Sea.

E-mail: slpelo@student.aau.dk

Zhenyu Yang received the B. Sc. and M. Sc. degrees in control theory from Shandong University, China in 1991 and 1994, received the Ph. D. degree in control engineering from Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, China in 1998. He is currently associate professor at Department of Energy Technology at Aalborg University, Denmark.

His research interests include theory and application of fault detection and diagnosis, fault tolerant control, hybrid system modeling and control, nonlinear system identification and control, and industrial applications of advanced control theory and plant-wide automation for energy systems.

E-mail: yang@et.aau.dk (Corresponding author)

ORCID iD: 0000-0001-9430-3309